

## **MCI'S QUESTIONS FOR 6/3/02 MEETING RE: CR0756 – UNE-P CALL SCOPE CHANGES**

### **1. Georgia new USOCs for migrations:**

- MCI currently sends migrations as specified (ACT=V) for residential customers in GA. The orders specify the USOC UEPRC for customers who want caller id. If MCI makes no changes to its software and sends these orders AFTER the implementation of CR 0756, will these orders reject?
- If yes, what reject description will be provided?
- If yes, what will MCI need to do to correct this reject?
- Do all the above answers apply exactly the same to orders for service without caller ID sent with USOC UEPRC?

#### **BST Response:**

After the implementation of CR0756, when MCI sends a migration as specified (ACT=V) for residential customers in Georgia and specifies the USOC UEPRC along with a caller ID USOC on that same request, the request will not be rejected. This also applies for migrations without caller ID sent with the USOC UEPRC.

2. If MCI makes no changes to its interface and BST implements CR 0756, how will the migration of FLAT RATE BellSouth customers to MCI be handled? Will these FLAT rate customers be converted to the larger MEASURED rate area? Will their toll calls be routed as local?

#### **BST Response:**

It depends on how MCI orders UNE-P; however, if MCI orders with ACT=V and submits USOCs UEPRC or UEPRC to convert a BellSouth retail 1FR in GA for example, the conversion of this basic flat-rate service to UNE-P will convert the service to the slightly larger basic measured rate equivalent calling scope. Calls originating and terminating within this area shall be dialed using 7 or 10 digits and will be transported by BellSouth. Calls terminating outside of this area but terminating within the LATA shall be transported by the end user's LPIC.

If MCI submits a UNE-P conversion order to convert a 1FR in GA and does not specify the UNE-P USOCs to be applied, with the implementation of this CR, the new basic flat-rate calling scope equivalent calling scope UNE USOCs will be applied, and there will be no change in the calling scope as a result of the UNE-P conversion.

### **3. Georgia new USOCs for NEW lines:**

- MCI currently sends orders for new lines in GA as follows: (TEAM - CAN YOU FILL IN THE BLANK?)
- If MCI does not change its software and continues to send orders exactly as defined above, will the orders reject?
- If YES, what will the reject message be? Please be specific. Do not simply refer us to the business rules.
- If YES, what will MCI need to do to correct these orders?

#### **BST Response:**

No, if MCI sends in a request for a new line in Georgia and the request has a caller ID USOC in the feature/feature detail section of the Port Service form

**MCI'S QUESTIONS FOR 6/3/02 MEETING RE:  
CR0756 – UNE-P CALL SCOPE CHANGES**

and the Port USOC submitted is a caller ID capable Port, the order shall not be rejected. Likewise, if MCI sends in a request for a new line in Georgia and there is not a caller ID USOC in the feature/feature detail section of the Port Service Form and the Port USOC submitted is a non-caller ID capable Port, the order shall not be rejected.

4. Florida calling plans:

- Explain the statement that this change corrects the "inability to migrate some FL customers with extended area calling plans and CREX 7 to UNE-P.
- Will orders for these customers reject unless the change request is implemented?
- Are these customers currently migrating incorrectly?
- Is this the same problem CLECs are seeing in Georgia?

**BST Response:**

Currently, UNE-P does not support a request for CREX7 on a UNE-P line. If CREX7 is requested while converting to or ordering a UNE-P line, the order shall not be rejected, however, the calling restrictions associated with CREX7 will not be provided. If MCI is migrating end users with BellSouth Area Plus and CREX7 to UNE-P and not requesting CREX7, the lines are converting correctly. If CREX7 is being requested and because the CREX7 restrictions are not being provided, the migration would be incomplete.

This issue is not related to the calling area issue in Georgia.

5. Loss of dial tone due to port changes:

- Provide a complete list of CLLI codes for the switches impacted by this CR.

**BST Response:**

**AL: BHAM-HOMEWOOD DS0, HUNTS-UNIVERSITY DS0, MOBL-SEMMES DS0, MOULTON DS0**

**FL: BCRT BOCA TEECA DS0, DYBH-PORT ORANGE DS0, GULF BREEZE DS0, JCVL-NORMANDY DS0, JCVL-SAN JOSE 73E, LYNNHAVEN DS0, MIAM AIRPORT DS0, NDAD GOLDEN GLADES DS0, PANAMA CITY MAIN DS0, PNSC-WARRINGTON DS0**

**LA: BT.RG.-OAK HILLS DS0, BT.RG.-WOODLAWN DS0**

**MS: GNWD MAIN DS0**

**NC: CHERRYVILLE-CENTRAL 435, ENKA-MAIN 66F, LUMBERTON-MAIN 73F, SELMA-MAIN 96F, SPRUCE PINE-MAIN 76F, WAYNESVILLE-MAIN 45F**

**SC: SUMMERVILLE MA 87E**

**MCI'S QUESTIONS FOR 6/3/02 MEETING RE:  
CR0756 – UNE-P CALL SCOPE CHANGES**

- Provide a complete list of all customer dial tone loss caused by this problem to date.

**BST Response:**

BellSouth does not have this information. There is a possibility that there has never been customer dial tone loss caused by this scenario.

- When was this problem first communicated to CLECs?

**BST Response:**

July 2001

- When did BellSouth first become aware of this problem?

**BST Response:**

July 2001